# Efficacy of an Training Program Based Intervention in Changing Knowledge Nurses Concerning Medication Dosage Errors in Pediatric ward in Mosul City, Iraq

Jellan Mohammed, Ali Msc\* Nasir Muwfaq Younis, PhD\*\*

# ABSTRACT

Background: Any avoidable event that might lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm while the patient, healthcare professional, or consumer is in control of the pharmaceuticals.

Objective: The aim of the study to determine the efficacy of an training program based intervention in changing knowledge nurses concerning medication dosage errors in pediatric ward in Mosul City, Iraq

Methods: A randomized controlled trial with a true experimental design was used for the program from October 1, 2024, to May 1, 2025. Five public healthcare facilities with pediatric wards were included in the study. More than 250 questioners were distributed to nurses from pediatric wards in Mosul hospitals at random. The nurses were then selected for the study by either giving the questioners to one and leaving one on or two, or the other way around, giving the questioners to pediatric ward nurses and leaving one. The researcher then received 165 questioners, and approximately 90 nurses did not fit the criteria. Lastly, there is a chance that there will be 26 male and 34 female pediatric ward nurses in the two groups, each holding a different position.30 nurses who consented to participate in the study were included in the sample, and 30 nurses who work in pediatric wards were included in the control group. The experimental and control groups were created by random assignment. Version 26 of the Social Science Statistical Package (SPSS) was used in the investigation to analyze the data. The statistical techniques used to analyze data and assess results.

Results: The finding show that the changes in nurses' knowledge regarding medication dosage errors across the study phases. The mean score during the Pre phase was 7.888 (Fair level), reflecting a need for improvement. After the intervention, the Post1 phase showed a significant increase to 17.444 (Good level), demonstrating its effectiveness. By the Post2 phase, the mean score slightly decreased to 17.333 but remained at a good level, indicating sustained knowledge retention.

Conclusion: This study concluded that the program was effective through the three tests that were conducted on nurses in hospitals, as they were tested first and their knowledge of the directions of incorrect drug doses was weak and unacceptable, while when the intervention, i.e. the training program, was conducted, their knowledge became good in the second test and the researchers continued to follow them after the program for two months. They were tested for the third time and it was found that they still retained the same information, i.e. their knowledge remained in a positive and high direction at the same time.

Keywords: Efficacy, Training Program, Knowledge, Medication Dosage Errors

# INTRODUCTION

Any unintentional departure from the recommended dosage of a medication that happens during the prescription, dispensing, or administration processes and has the potential to cause harm to the patient is referred to as a medication dosage error <sup>(1,2)</sup>. Overdoses, underdoses, omissions, and improper administration techniques are a few examples of these mistakes. Due to the requirement for exact dosage based on weight, age, and developmental physiology, pediatric patients are especially at risk. Miscommunication between medical professionals, similarity in drug names or packaging, computation errors, and insufficient training are some contributing factors <sup>(3-5)</sup>.

Manual procedures or a lack of clinical decision support systems are frequently the cause of these mistakes. Interventions such as clinical decision support tools, computerized provider order entry (CPOE) systems, and standardized procedures for the prescription and administration of medications have been used in healthcare settings to lower the risk <sup>(6)</sup>. With reports indicating that 48.1% of people save medications for personal use, high rates of self-medication suggest that the community regularly uses drugs for healing. Drugs must be used rationally (RUM) in order to be accessible, safe, and of high quality. Particularly in developing nations dealing with issues like poverty and overpopulation, inappropriate treatment practices can result in serious problems like medication errors and non-compliance <sup>(7,8)</sup>. Healthcare

Nineveh Health Directorate

 Al-Baaj Sector, Iraq.
 E-mail: gelan.23nup25@student.uomosul.edu.iq

 \*\* Community Health Nursing,

 College of Nursing/University of Mosul/Iraq
 E-mail: nasir.mufaq@uomosul.edu.iq

professionals oversee the administration of medications in clinical settings, especially for pediatric patients, where compliance can be optimized. Medication errors can still happen, though, and they frequently arise from using the wrong drugs or dosages. Because of their smaller bodies, children are particularly susceptible, making weight-based dosage calculations more difficult. Serious repercussions can result from even small dosage mistakes (9,10). According to recent research, medication administration errors (MAEs) are more common in pediatric patients than in adults; in fact, some studies have found that children are three times more likely than adults to experience potentially harmful errors. Children's developmental limitations and the fact that the majority of medications are intended for adult use are two factors that contribute to this. There are serious risks associated with pediatric dosage calculations going wrong, as some errors can result in dangerously high dosages (11). According to an analysis of medication errors in pediatric settings, the most frequent mistakes are made at the wrong time, followed by incorrect routes and dosages. Hospital research shows that treatment procedures account for a large percentage of errors, with dose errors being especially common. In intensive care units (ICUs), the complexity of care leads to a higher frequency of serious medication errors (12,13). The aim of the study to determine the efficacy of an training program based intervention in changing knowledge nurses concerning medication dosage errors in pediatric ward in Mosul City, Iraq.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Study Design**: The program at pediatric wards in Mosul hospitals used a true experimental design with a randomized controlled trial to determine the effectiveness of a training program-based intervention on nurses' knowledge regarding medication dosage errors in pediatric wards from October 1, 2024, to May 1, 2025, as shown in the figure(1).

**Study Setting:** The focus of the current study was Mosul. The capital of the Nineveh governorate in northern Iraq is Mosul. Five public healthcare facilities with pediatric wards were included in the study: "Al-Khansaa Teaching Hospital, AL-Salam Teaching Hospital, Ibn AI-

**Study Sample:** More than 250 questioners were distributed to nurses from pediatric wards in Mosul hospitals at random. The nurses were then selected for the study by either giving the questioners to one and leaving one on or two, or the other way around, giving them to

pediatric ward nurses and leaving one. The researcher then received 165 questioners, and approximately 90 nurses did not fit the criteria. Ten nurses who participated in the pilot study were later removed, and five healthcare workers withdrew from the study.Lastly, there is a chance that there will be 26 male and 34 female pediatric ward nurses in the two groups, each holding a different position. 30 nurses who consented to participate in the study were included in the sample, and 30 nurses who work in pediatric wards were included in the control group. The experimental and control groups were created by random assignment.

Data Collection Tools: Prior to the program's lectures, the researcher created the tools, which include demographic data and nurses' understanding of medication dosage errors in pediatric wards that are connected to knowledge based on multiple-choice questions. The instrument is divided into two sections, each of which has multiple sections. Although there were numerous questions in the second section and demographic information in the first, the knowledge section only had four multiple-choice questions. Part I: The demographic parameters encompass data pertaining to the nurse's age, gender, educational attainment, overall years of service in pediatric wards, name of the hospital, and involvement in training courses relevant to the study issue. Part II: This section of the tools pertains to the level of knowledge that nurses possess about medication dosage erorrs. The tool is primarily constructed using information derived from preliminary study findings, a literature review, and expert comments. This component of the instrument consists of six questions pertaining to the nurse's knowledge.

**Data Collection Period:** The study was carried out at pediatric wards in a few Mosul hospitals over a six-month period, from September 16, 2024, to March 17, 2025.

**Analysis of statistical date:** Frequency and percentage analysis are used to calculate demographic characteristics using descriptive methods. The data value is estimated using both the mean and the standard deviation. A statistical measure of a random variable's degree of variability around its mean is the standard deviation (S.D.). An approach to descriptive statistical data analysis. Comparing two related samples using the paired T-test and the Fisher Exact Test for Equality of Variances



Figure 1. Atheer Teaching Hospital, Ibn-Sena Teaching Hospital", which is on the left, and Al Mosul General Hospital, which is on the right.

Efficacy of an Training Program Based Intervention in Changing Knowledge Nurses Concerning Medication Dosage Errors in Pediatric ward in Mosul City, Iraq

# RESULTS

Table 1. The result presents the statistical results of the demographic variables and homogeneity for both the sample stud	dy group and control group
in the study	
Test of Homogeneity	

i est of fion	logeneny								
P-value Type of test				Groups	Items	Demographic variables			
1	1)]]0 01 0000	%	No.	orompo		2 ennographile (analier			
0.999		43	13	Control	20-29				
		47	14	Study	20 27				
0.779 Fisher's exact test	33	10	Control	30-39	Age				
	27	8	Study	50-57					
0.999	24	7	Control						
		26	8	Study	+0-+2				
0795		40	12	Control					
		47	14	Study	Wate	Gandar			
0795	Tislici s'exact test	60	18	Control	———— Female	Gender			
0795	53	16	Study	Temale					
0.999		20	6	Control					
		23	7	Study	Secondary degree				
0.205	Fisher's exect test	50	15	Control	Dinloma dagraa	Level of advantian			
0.293		33	10	Study	Dipionia degree	Level of education			
0.422	30	9	Control	Dachlar dagraa					
		44	13	Study	Bacillei degree				
0 705		53	16	Control	15				
0.795		60	18	Study	1-5				
0.749		23	7	Control	6 10				
0.740		17	5	Study	0-10				
0.5(7	Eishaula ana at ta at	10	3	Control	11 15	General years of experience in			
0.56/ Fisher's exact test	7	2	Study	11-13	pediatric wards				
0.671	7	2	Control	1( 20					
	13	4	Study	16-20					
0.999		7	2	Control	21.25				
	3	1	Study	21-25					
0.671		7	2	Control	N				
0.6/1		13	4	Study	Y es	<b>T</b>			
0 (71	Fisher's exact test	93	28	Control	N.	I raining course			
0.6/1		87	26	Study	No				
				~					

Table 2. Statistical result for Nurses Knowledge about medication dosage error

Q	Scale	Pre -Study			Pre -one-Study			Pre -Two-Study		
		N (%)	М	Ass.	N (%)	М	Ass.	N (%)	М	Ass.
Q1	Incorrect	19(63)	7 2 2 2	Fair	1(3)	-19.333	Good	2(7)	19 666	Good
	Correct	11(37)	1.555		29(97)			28(93)	18.000	
Q2	Incorrect	17(57)	0 666	Fair	1(3)	-19.333	Good	2(7)	19 666	Good
	Correct	13(43)	- 8.000		29(97)			28(93)	18.000	
Q3	Incorrect	19(63)	7 2 2 2	Fair	3(10)	-18	Good	2(7)	19 666	Good
	Correct	11(37)	1.333		27(90)			28(93)	18.000	
Q4	Incorrect	21(70)	6	Poor	2(7)	10 666	Cood	2(7)	19 666	Carl
	Correct	9(30)	-0		28(93)	18.000	0000	28(93)	18.000	Good
Q5	Incorrect	16(53)	9 333	Fair	10(33)	13 333	Fair	8(27)	14 666	Good
	Correct	14(47)	- 7.555		20(67)	15.555	1 411	22(73)	14.000	
Q6	Incorrect	17(57)	9 ( ( (	Fair	6(20)	16	Carl	8(27)	14 (((	Good
	Correct	13(43)	- 8.000		24(80)	10	Good	22(73)	14.000	
			7.888	Fair		17.444	Good		17.333	Good
	Q Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6	QScaleQ1IncorrectQ2IncorrectQ3IncorrectQ4IncorrectQ5IncorrectQ6IncorrectQ6IncorrectQ6IncorrectQ6Incorrect	QScale $\frac{\Pr e - Stud:}{N(\%)}$ Q1 $\frac{Incorrect}{Correct}$ 19(63)Q2 $\frac{Incorrect}{Correct}$ 17(57)Q3 $\frac{Incorrect}{Correct}$ 19(63)Q4 $\frac{Incorrect}{Correct}$ 19(63)Q4 $\frac{Incorrect}{Correct}$ 21(70)Q5 $\frac{Incorrect}{Correct}$ 16(53)Q6 $\frac{Incorrect}{Correct}$ 13(43)	QScale $\frac{\operatorname{Pre-Study}}{\operatorname{N}(\%)}$ MQ1 $\frac{\operatorname{Incorrect}}{\operatorname{Correct}}$ 19(63) 11(37)7.333Q2 $\frac{\operatorname{Incorrect}}{\operatorname{Correct}}$ 17(57) 13(43)8.666Q3 $\frac{\operatorname{Incorrect}}{\operatorname{Correct}}$ 19(63) 7.3337.333Q4 $\frac{\operatorname{Incorrect}}{\operatorname{Correct}}$ 21(70) 9(30)6Q5 $\frac{\operatorname{Incorrect}}{\operatorname{Correct}}$ 16(53) 9.3339.333Q6 $\frac{\operatorname{Incorrect}}{\operatorname{Correct}}$ 13(43)8.6667.888	QScale $\frac{\text{Pre-Study}}{N(\%)}$ MAss.Q1 $\frac{\text{Incorrect}}{\text{Correct}}$ 19(63) 11(37)7.333FairQ2 $\frac{\text{Incorrect}}{\text{Correct}}$ 17(57) 13(43)8.666FairQ3 $\frac{\text{Incorrect}}{\text{Correct}}$ 19(63) 10(33)7.333FairQ4 $\frac{\text{Incorrect}}{\text{Correct}}$ 21(70) 	Q         Scale $\frac{\text{Pre-Study}}{N(\%)}  M$ Ass.         N(%)           Q1 $\frac{\text{Incorrect}}{\text{Correct}} = \frac{19(63)}{11(37)} = 7.333$ Fair $\frac{1(3)}{29(97)} = \frac{1(3)}{29(97)}$ Q2 $\frac{\text{Incorrect}}{\text{Correct}} = \frac{17(57)}{13(43)} = 8.666$ Fair $\frac{1(3)}{29(97)} = \frac{1(3)}{29(97)}$ Q3 $\frac{\text{Incorrect}}{\text{Correct}} = \frac{19(63)}{11(37)} = 7.333$ Fair $\frac{3(10)}{27(90)} = \frac{27(90)}{27(90)}$ Q4 $\frac{\text{Incorrect}}{\text{Correct}} = \frac{21(70)}{9(30)} = 6$ Poor $\frac{2(7)}{28(93)} = \frac{2(7)}{28(93)}$ Q5 $\frac{\text{Incorrect}}{\text{Correct}} = \frac{16(53)}{9(30)} = 9.333$ Fair $\frac{10(33)}{20(67)} = \frac{20(67)}{24(80)}$ Q6 $\frac{\text{Incorrect}}{13(43)} = 8.666$ Fair $\frac{6(20)}{24(80)} = \frac{2(89)}{24(80)}$	Q         Scale         Pre -Study N (%)         M         Ass.         N (%)         M           Q1         Incorrect         19(63) Correct         7.333         Fair $\frac{1(3)}{29(97)}$ 19.333           Q2         Incorrect         17(57) Correct         8.666         Fair $\frac{1(3)}{29(97)}$ 19.333           Q3         Incorrect         19(63) Correct         7.333         Fair $\frac{3(10)}{29(97)}$ 19.333           Q4         Incorrect         19(63) Correct         7.333         Fair $\frac{3(10)}{27(90)}$ 18           Q4         Incorrect         21(70) Correct         6         Poor $\frac{2(7)}{28(93)}$ 18.666           Q5         Incorrect         16(53) Correct         9.333         Fair $\frac{10(33)}{20(67)}$ 13.333           Q6         Incorrect         17(57) Correct         8.666         Fair $\frac{6(20)}{24(80)}$ 16           V         X443         X453         X666         Fair $\frac{10(33)}{20(67)}$ 13.43	Q         Scale         Pre -Study N (%)         Pre -one-Study           Q1         Incorrect         19(63) Correct         7.333         Fair         1(3) 29(97)         19.333         Good           Q2         Incorrect         17(57) Correct         8.666         Fair         1(3) 29(97)         19.333         Good           Q3         Incorrect         19(63) Correct         7.333         Fair $\frac{3(10)}{29(97)}$ 19.333         Good           Q4         Incorrect         19(63) Correct         7.333         Fair $\frac{3(10)}{27(90)}$ 18         Good           Q4         Incorrect         21(70) Correct         6         Poor $\frac{2(7)}{28(93)}$ 18.666         Good           Q5         Incorrect         16(53) Correct         9.333         Fair $\frac{10(33)}{20(67)}$ 13.333         Fair           Q6         Incorrect         17(57) Correct         8.666         Fair $\frac{6(20)}{24(80)}$ 16         Good           Q6         Incorrect         13(43)         8.666         Fair $\frac{10(33)}{24(80)}$ 16         Good	Q         Scale         Pre -Study         Pre -one-Study         Pre -Two-Study         Pre -Two-Study           Q1         Incorrect         19(63) Correct         7.333         Fair $\frac{1(3)}{29(97)}$ 19.333         Good $\frac{2(7)}{28(93)}$ Q2         Incorrect         17(57) Correct         8.666         Fair $\frac{1(3)}{29(97)}$ 19.333         Good $\frac{2(7)}{28(93)}$ Q3         Incorrect         19(63) Correct         7.333         Fair $\frac{3(10)}{29(97)}$ 19.333         Good $\frac{2(7)}{28(93)}$ Q4         Incorrect         19(63) Correct         7.333         Fair $\frac{1(3)}{29(97)}$ 19.333         Good $\frac{2(7)}{28(93)}$ Q4         Incorrect         19(63) Correct         7.333         Fair $\frac{3(10)}{27(90)}$ 18         Good $\frac{2(7)}{28(93)}$ Q4         Incorrect         21(70) Correct         9         Poor $\frac{2(7)}{28(93)}$ 18.666         Good $\frac{2(7)}{22(73)}$ Q5         Incorrect         16(53) Correct         9.333         Fair $\frac{10(33)}{20(67)}$ 13.333         Fair $\frac{8(27)}{22(73)}$ Q6         Incorre	Q         Scale         Pre -Study         Pre -one-Study         Pre -Two-Study           Q1         Incorrect         19(63)         7.333         Fair         1(3)         19.333         Good         2(7)         18.666           Q2         Incorrect         17(57)         8.666         Fair         1(3)         19.333         Good         2(7)         18.666           Q3         Incorrect         19(63)         7.333         Fair         3(10)         19.333         Good         2(7)         18.666           Q4         Incorrect         19(63)         7.333         Fair         3(10)         19.333         Good         2(7)         18.666           Q3         Incorrect         19(63)         7.333         Fair         3(10)         18         Good         2(7)         18.666           Q4         Incorrect         11(37)         7.333         Fair         3(10)         28(93)         18.666         2(7)         28(93)         18.666           Q4         Incorrect         9(30)         6         Poor         2(7)         28(93)         18.666         2(7)         28(93)         18.666           Q5         Incorrect         16(53)         9.333

N: Frequency, %: Percentage, M: Mean of total score, Poor= 0.00 - 6.66, Fair= 6.7 - 13.3, Good= 13.4 - 20

Nurses' knowledge about medication dosage errors in pedatric

Table 3. "Significance Testing of Differences Between (Pre&Post-1), (pre &post2),(post1 &post2) for Paired Samples for study group

Avisos	Status	Pre Study				
AXISCS		Mean	t	P-value	Sig.	
	Pre	0.344	27.044	0.000	H.S	
	Post1	0.873	27.044	0.000		
Nurses Inouladas about mediaction desease around	Pre	0.344				
Nurses knowledge about medication dosage eroris	Post2	0.843	29.198	0.000	H.S	
	Post1	0.873				
	Post2	0.843	4.267	0.000	H.S	
Table 4. Analysis of Effect Size Levels Based on Kendall's Coeffi	cient and Friedma	in Test				
Type of knowledge	value ( $\chi^2$ )	n K	n K Size effect (E.S) Decision			

51.98

DISCUSSION	
DISCUSSION	

wards

The demographic characteristics of the study group and the control group are thoroughly compared in this study. Age, gender, educational attainment, years of experience working in pediatric wards, and training course attendance are the main factors under analysis. Fisher's exact test is the statistical test used to compare the groups, and the p-values are given to evaluate how homogeneous the two groups are. Since all p-values are higher than 0.05, which indicates that there are no significant differences between the groups, the results show that the study and control groups are demographically comparable across all variables. This implies that the two groups are a good fit, which is essential to guaranteeing the reliability of the study's conclusions. In the study group, 47% (14 nurses) and 43% (13 nurses) were between the ages of 20 and 29; in the control group, 33% (10 nurses) and 27% (8 nurses) were between the ages of 30 and 39; and in the study group, 24% (7 nurses) and 26% (8 nurses) were between the ages of 40 and 49 (Table 1). Additionally, it revealed that females made up 53% (16 nurses) of the study group and 60% (18 nurses) of the control group, while males made up 47% (14 nurses) and 40% (12 nurses) of the study group. In terms of education, 44% (13 nurses) of the study group and 30% (9 nurses) of the control group had bachelor's degrees, 33% (10 nurses) of the study group and 50% (15 nurses) of the control group had nursing institute degrees, and 23% (7 nurses) of the study group and 20% (6 nurses) of the control group had completed secondary school. Sixty percent (18 nurses) of the study group and fifty-three percent (16 nurses) of the control group had one to five years of experience in pediatric wards; seventeen percent (5 nurses) of the study group and twenty-three percent (7 nurses) of the control group had six to ten years; seven percent (2 nurses) of both groups had eleven to fifteen years; thirteen percent (4 nurses) of the study group and seven percent (2 nurses) of the control group had sixteen to twenty years; and three percent (1 nurse) of the study group and seven percent (2 nurses) of the control group had twenty-five years. Finally, whereas 87% (26 nurses) of the study group and 93% (28 nurses) of the control group had not previously attended training courses regarding medication dosage errors, 13% (4 nurses) of the study group and 7% (2 nurses) of the control group had attended work-related training courses.I found more than one study on the same topic that compared the demographic characteristics in our study<sup>(14,15)</sup>. Significant shifts in nurses' understanding of medication dosage errors over the course of the study are shown in Table (2). There was room for improvement, as evidenced by the Pre phase mean score of 7.888 (Fair level). The effectiveness of the intervention was demonstrated by the Post1 phase, which showed a significant increase to 17.444 (Good level) after the intervention. The mean score showed sustained knowledge retention by the Post2 phase, where it dropped slightly to 17.333 but stayed at a good level. Numerous studies on the same subject have demonstrated that the more targeted the program, the more successful it is, the more noticeable the change is<sup>(16-18)</sup>. The statistical differences in mean values across nurses' knowledge of medication dosage errors in the (Pre&Post-1), (Pre & Post2), and (Post1 & Post2) studies are shown in Table (3). Following the intervention, the mean values rose in every dimension. Statistically significant differences (H.S.) are indicated by high t values and a P-value (less than 0.05). In line with our research, two studies conducted in Iraq discovered that program commitment increases nurses' knowledge<sup>(19-23)</sup>. The impact of interventions pertaining to medication dosage errors in pediatric wards is shown in Table (4). Following the intervention, the nurses' understanding of medication dosage errors demonstrated a significant impact, with an overall effect size of 0.87. According to other research, the more effective the program is, the stronger the effect is and the stronger the relationship between the variables<sup>(24-27)</sup>.

#### CONCLUSION

30

3

0.87

Strongly effect

This study concluded that the program was effective through the three tests that were conducted on nurses in hospitals, as they were tested first and their knowledge of the directions of incorrect drug doses was weak and unacceptable, while when the intervention, i.e. the training program, was conducted, their knowledge became good in the second test and the researchers continued to follow them after the program for two months. They were tested for the third time and it was found that they still retained the same information, i.e. their knowledge remained in a positive and high direction at the same time. This is confirmed by testing the size of the program's effect, which was also a positive effect size.

## RECOMMENDATION

This study recommends conducting local, regional and global studies. That is, on more than one scale and in a comprehensive manner for all health institutions in order to reduce the risks of medical errors within institutions and hospitals as well as primary health care centers and to take a larger sample to be a comprehensive study.

#### LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

One of the limitations that emerged in our current study is that many nurses do not have enough time to participate in the study because most of the participants had commitments in the wards or were involved in the disease. Also, some were reluctant to participate due to administrative work within the health institution.

Authorship Contribution: All authors share equal effort contribution towards (1) substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data; (2) drafting the article and revising it critically for important intellectual content; and (3) final approval of the manuscript version to be published. Yes.

#### Potential Conflict of Interest: None

### Competing Interest: None

Acceptance Date: 28-02-2025

# REFERENCES

- 1. Fathi A, Rezaei MH, Mohammadi M, Azam K, Barzegar L, Headarnezhad N, Golestan FS. Survey of medication error by nurses self-report in intensive care unit of Imam Khomeini hospital-Tehran. Int Res J Appl Basic Sci. 2014;8:1726-32.
- Prgomet M, Li L, Niazkhani Z, Georgiou A, Westbrook JI. Impact of commercial computerized provider order entry (CPOE) and clinical decision support systems (CDSSs) on medication errors, length of stay, and mortality in intensive care units: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Ame Med Info Ass. 2017 Mar 1;24(2):413-22.
- Ranjit YS, Shin H, First JM, Houston JB. COVID-19 protective model: the role of threat perceptions and informational cues in influencing behavior. J Risk Rese. 2021 Apr 22;24(3-4):449-65.
- Younis NM. Prevalence of Electronic Hookah and Risk Factors among University Students in Mosul City/Iraq. Int J Mem Sci Techn. 2023 Jul 2;10(2):1422-7.
- Anuar H, Shah SA, Gafor H, Mahmood MI, Ghazi HF. Usage of Health Belief Model (HBM) in health behavior: A systematic review. Mala J Med Heal Scie. 2020 Nov;16(11):2636-9346.
- Almatrafi RM, Alsuwailem HS, Alanazi WH, Mohsen S. Awareness and Attitude of Women about The Teratogenic Effect of Drugs During Pregnancy: A Pilot Study. Bahr Med Bull. 2024 Dec;46(4).
- Tolley CL, Forde NE, Coffey KL, Sittig DF, Ash JS, Husband AK, Bates DW, Slight SP. Factors contributing to medication errors made when using computerized order entry in pediatrics: a systematic review. J Amer Medl Infor Asso. 2018 May;25(5):575-84.
- Awotunde JB, Jimoh RG, AbdulRaheem M, Oladipo ID, Folorunso SO, Ajamu GJ. IoT-based wearable body sensor network for COVID-19 pandemic. Advances in Data Science and Intelligent Data Communication Technologies for COVID-19: Innoative Solutions against COVID-19. 2021 Jul 24:253-75.
- 9.Ibrahim RM, Idrees NH, Younis NM. Knowledge about Type 1 Diabetes in Children among Nursing Students of the University of Mosul, Iraq. The Malay J Nur (MJN). 2024 Jul 25;16(1):117-23.
- Ahmed MM, Hussein AA, Younis NM. Assessment of nursing student's beliefs about healthy dieting. J Edu Heal Prom. 2024 Oct 1;13(1):408.
- Ayed AY, Younis NM, Ahmed MM. Teachers' knowledge about communicable disease control at primary schools in Mosul City: A cross-sectional study. Int J Acad Med. 2024 Apr 1;10(2):75-9.
- Younis NM. Evaluation the health lifestyle of kindergarten students at Mosul city/Iraq. Int J Med Tox & Legal Med. 2023;26(1and2):148-52.

- Faris SH, Mansoor HI, Al-Abedi GA. Effect of Sociodemographic Factors on Knowledge and Attitudes of Nursing Staff toward Rotavirus Diarrheal Disease and Its Vaccines. Bah Med Bull. 2024 Sep 1;46(3).
- Ayed AY, Younis NM, Ahmed MM. Comparison of infection severity of vaccinated and unvaccinated health workers with Corona Virus: A cohort study. J Edu Heal Prom. 2023 Sep 1(1):336.
- 15. Ajrash KA, Al-Abedi GA. Healthy Behaviors between Medical and Non-Medical University Students. Bah Med Bull. 2024 Mar 1;46(1).
- Younis NM. Epidemiology of Hepatitis B-virus in Nineveh province: Retrospective Study. Int J Mem Sci Tech. 2023 Jul 2;10(2):1440-4.
- Bura'a LN, Younis NM. An Interventional Program on Nurses Knowledge and Practice towards Phototherapy in Neonatal Care Units. Int J Mem Sci Tech. 2023 Jul 2;10(2):1428-32.
- Alammari YM. Prevalence and Predictors of No-Show in Internal Medicine Outpatient Clinics: A Cross-Sectional Study in Saudi Arabia. J Edu Heal Prom. 2024 Dec;46(4).
- 19. Ahmed MM, Naji AB, Younis NM. Efficacy of an educational program based on health belief model to enhancing weight control behaviors among employees in the University of Mosul: a randomized controlled trial. Revis Bionatura. 2023;8(3):28.
- 20. Khorsheed HO, Sarhat ER. Impact of Medical Therapy on the Zinc-A2-Glycoprotein and Ischemia Modified Albumin Levels in Patients with Hypothyroidism. J Edu Heal Prom. 2024 Jun 1;46(2).
- Balubaid M, Al-Husayni F, Alwafi H, Alsheikh N, Alasmari B, Qanash H, Taher N, Alharbi A, Naser AY, Al Thaqafy M, Neyazi A. The Prevalence of Smoking Among Medical Residents in Saudi Arabia, A Cross Sectional National Survey. Bah Med Bull. 2024 Mar 1;46(1).
- 22. Younis NM, Taher AK. Efficacy of Trans Theoretical Model Intervention for Improving Behaviors related to Electronic Hookah Smoking among Healthcare Workers in Mosul Hospital: A Randomized Control Trail. Int J Mem Sci Tech. 2023 Jul 2;10(2):1433-9.
- Alzahrani MA, Alshahrani HN, Khuzayyim AA, Ali KM, Alshahrani MH, Alnami AK. Assessing Knowledge and Utilization of Pharmacological and Non-Pharmacological Interventions for Constipation: A Questionnaire-Based Study in Saudi Arabia. Bah Med Bull. 2024 Dec;46(4).
- Allawi RH, Ahmed MM. Assessment of Nurse's Knowledge Towards Diabetic Ketoacidosis among children in Mosul City/ Iraq. Rawal Med J. 2023 Apr;48(2).
- AlNooh Y, Al Noaimi R, Alqasimi M. Assessment of Patient Safety Culture Among Healthcare Workers in the Primary Care of Bahrain. Bah Med Bull. 2024 Jun 1;46(2).
- Al-Abedi GA, Mansoor HI, Faris SH. Older Adult Self-care and Related Factors at Primary Health Care Centers. Bah Med Bull. 2024 Mar 1;46(1).
- Ahmed MM, Younis NM, Abdulsalam RR. Assessment of changes in sleep habits in elementary students during covid\_19 lockdown. Int J Mem Sci Tech. 2022;25(1and2):76-80.